

Requiring 90 days of stored content for radio/tv broadcasters is absurd. You are basically saying that anyone can complain about content and then the burden of proof is on the broadcaster to prove innocence. That goes against the fiber of this country where we are guilty until proven innocent. Secondly, it would be cost prohibitive to smaller sized operators to be forced into doing this. Maybe this is necessary in markets 1-20, where you seem to be focused. But if you are intent on dis-service to the public by requiring this, then you must grandfather smaller operators who for the most part are not the offenders of indecency, since they are actually members of the communities they serve and not headquartered in San Antonio.

If you ask me this is just a microcosm of allowing 1 company to hold 1247 licenses. There should be limits to these holdings, especially when the barrier to entry for new voices is closed (no new licenses coming in) and cost prohibitive (millions of dollars).

The market place will dictate when indecent content is not tolerated by exercising its right to change the channel. Please put the indecency thing back in the back and force parents to monitor children's content and not government. What is next? A return to the 50's where we present a milquetoast product. Pushing the envelope is the way to progress. If Broadcast does not progress, it will be replaced by unregulated content mediums like cable and satellite. Or is it that you just want to whole spectrum back? In that case, put all broadcasters out of business and make it a truly public entity,